

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983
Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

FAX COVER SHEET**PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE
TO EXAMINER CHUCK O. KENDALL**

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Attn: Examiner Chuck O. Kendall
Group Art Unit: 2192

FROM: William K. Konrad
OUR REF: 0054.0033
TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 3

PTO FAX NUMBER: 1-571-273-8300

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310-556-7983, or fax us at 310-556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted:

**APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW
REQUEST FORM**

Applicant: H.J. GLASER et al.
Serial No.: 09/687,033
Filed: October 12, 2000
Group Art Unit: 2192
Docket No.: STL920000062US1

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Examiner Chuck O. Kendall of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on May 30, 2007.


William K. Konrad

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 30 2007

PTOL-413A
0054.0033**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**Application No.: 02/687,033
Examiner: Chuck O. KendallFirst Named Application: H.J. GLASER et al.
Art Unit: 2192 Status of Application: Nonfinal rejection**Tentative Participants:**(1) William K. Konrad(2) Chuck O. Kendall

(3) _____

(4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: June 1, 2007

Proposed Time: 02:00 PM (Eastern)

Type of Interview Requested:(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be shown or demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, provide a brief description: _____

Issues to be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>Claims 8 and 14</u>	<u>Downs and Kenner</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:**


Proposed amendment to claim 8 is attached. Downs and Kenner do not make obvious operation of "building the application program in a configuration customized to conform to the user application program installation configuration decrypted from the manifest file" as required by claim 8 amended as proposed.

An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Interview Request

Serial No. 09/687,033
STL920000062US1
54.33

8. (Proposed Amendment) A method of installing an application program for execution on a data processing system, said method comprising:

defining a user configuration of the application program installation corresponding to a particular user of the application program;

encrypting and storing the user application program installation configuration in a manifest file;

determining that the stored user application program installation configuration corresponds to the particular user;

authenticating the particular user in response to the particular user requesting the application program;

decrypting the manifest file in response to the user authentication; and

building the application program ~~pursuant to~~ in a configuration customized to conform to the user application program installation configuration decrypted from the manifest file.